		Prior Assessment				rrent Assessment		
Area	Impact	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Consequence	Residual Risk	Risk Owner
Financial	Reduction in spending power. Inability to fund services	4 - Likely	4 - Critical	16	4 - Likely	3 - Major	12	Head of Corporate Services
Description Changes to Local Government Funding. Loss of key income stream and subsequent budget implications. Possible loss of government grants Inflation and Cost of living crisis	still almost ce concern as to future years. Fees and cha and income r	nment Funding ertain to happe whether new arges are currenay fluctuate in idents to pay for	Review has been on in the medium tender homes bonus will be ently below benchmen the current econor Council Tax, Bus	m. Further be received in ark average mic crisis.	Medium Term Fina Commercialisation and provide alterna management strate Commercialisation reviewed regularly. inclusion in the 202 Ensure available fur circulated correctly additional support v	programme has coltive income stream gy adopted and in persent of the strategy will be upd Review of all fees a 3-24 budget planning and grants ar Provide payment p	ntinued to invest s. Treasury place lated and and charges for ng process.	Further Mitigations Review diversified, increased sources of income and cost reduction. Lobby via LGA, District Councils Network and Society for Local Authority Chief Executives, or clarity of funding review outcome and improvements via LGF outcomes. Consideration of new income streams. Reset the relationship with our contract providers where possible.

A	l	Prior Assessment		it	Cu	ırrent Assessment		Diale Own on
Area	Impact	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Consequence	Residual Risk	Risk Owner
Governance	Poor governance framework, resulting in poor decisions	2 - Unlikely	3 - Major	6	2 - Unlikely	2 - Moderate	4	Head of Corporate Services
<u>Description</u>		<u>Sou</u>	rce of Risk		<u> </u>	xisting Controls		Further Mitigations
	no conseque outsourced s arrangement always robus the council fo Potential lack Administratio	nces. Governa ervices are no s for outsource st. Decisions ar or services we c of clarity on d on which may le	ed and outsourced sence frameworks for talways effective. One of the and shared serving made outside of the provide. Since to slower decisions required to help the and to slower decisions required to help the and to slower decisions required to help the and the angle of the ang	shared or Governance ces are not the control of he ons, no	Committee Structur place and adhered Toolkit training give Awareness, Procur Statement process in post, Shared Leg Compliance with the of Conduct in place Corporate Governa Manage political relavailable after the e Opportunities in pla Awareness / Equali	to. In to officers - such ement. Annual Govin place. All Statuto pal Services Team in elaw, Member and roce took place in Julationships. New Melections. Member Elections of the coluding FOI / Control of the column in the	as Fraud vernance ory Officers are n place. Officer Codes Code of une 2022. ember inductions Development GDPR / Fraud	Improved processes and more standardised approaches Reduced number of services are now part of the 5 Councils contract. This contract will end in 2 years. Encourage political groups to manage their own training to ensure ClIrs are effective on day 1 and as part of this, encourage them to use training made available via the Local Government Association.

Area	Impact	·		Risk Owner				
Employee	Adverse	Likelihood 4 - Likely	Consequence 3 - Major	Risk Rating	Likelihood 4 - Likely	Consequence 2 - Moderate	Residual Risk	Joint Chief Executive
	impact on service delivery. Risk of error.		y					
<u>Description</u> Failure to		. <u>Sou</u>	rce of Risk		Existing Controls			Further Mitigations
recruit or retain suitably skilled staff Service continuity, delays in service provision to customers both external and internal.	to appoint ex (this is a nation environmental Possible inable agile working they do not h	perienced stafi onal issue with al health etc. In oility to balance the 'new norm	r dissatisfaction, pof f into certain profess shortages in auditi acreased workload I work/life balance p nal'. This may result to influence decision rmed.	sional roles ng, planning, evels for staff. particularly with in staff feeling	Sharing Knowledge expertise from othe procedures and gui Wellbeing Officer is open discussion on opportunities such a Need to ensure vacour rates of pay ren Ensure controls in pautomated systems enable smoother had across the organisa knowledge. Use ten appropriate. All staf with performance de	r councils. Robust particle and a member of the culture mplace. The culture mployment issues as volunteering being ancies are advertised and competitive in place are consistent (eg, case manage andover and resour tion. Improve sharing porary staff where the fave 121s on a member of the culture in the council manage of the culture in t	policies and ce. Health & lire encourages s, soft ing explored. Sed widely and the market. It. More use of ment) that it ce levelling ing of e available and nonthly basis	Consider the option of career graded progression within the organisation to develop home grown talent. Embed an Organisational Development Plan. Agile Working Policy is in place and all Members of Staff have considered their work styles, which works best for them and their productivity and agreed an approach with their line manager. We try to remove the stigma around mental health, regularly

					monthly basis, with regarding workload informal) meetings. Assistance Program support for our staff monthly basis to ke matters across the staff to get involved their influence decis of expertise. In addistaff surveys, with a we can take the ten	, at any of these (an We also provided on me, which provide f. We have All Staf- ep all staff up to da organisation. We en in Service Plan cre- sions on key prioriti ition, we have perionall staff encouraged	discussing it and providing training and support	
Area	Impact	Likelihood	Prior Assessment Current Assessment Consequence Risk Rating Likelihood Consequence Residual Risk		Risk Owner			
Corporate Contracts		3 - Possible	3 - Major	9	3 - Possible	2 - Moderate	6	Joint Chief Executive
Description A badly run contract can be an expensive and ineffective way to deliver a service. Inflationary uplifts and changes in circumstances may lead to inefficiencies or non- delivery of key services	Source of Risk Potential changes to the contract, potential changes to the partners who are party to any agreement leading to challenges in the way decisions are made in the future. Potential decision of other parties to leave the contract, impacting on those remaining. Increased costs to services, increased cost to manage the contract, increased officer time and resources to manage. Low value for money as the contract ages. 3 rd party ownership changes that might impact delivery of some services				Governance frameworthe Inter Authority Joint Committee. Strategic Board. Operational Manage Joint Client Team. Hart Client Team. Transition in progreservices that are perfectly Robust KPIs datass boards	Agreement. ement Board. ss to in-house serverforming below exp	ice provision on pectations.	Further Mitigations Consideration of future service delivery and exploring options for the medium to longer term planning of the council.

			Prior Assessmen			rrent Assessment		
Area	Impact	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Consequence	Residual Risk	Risk Owner
Climate Change	Unable to deliver against the Climate Change Action Plan	4 - Likely	2 - Moderate	8	1 - Rare	2 - Moderate	2	Head of Corporate Services
Description Failure to achieve agreed carbon reduction targets		ff and financial	resources. Difficuliand direction from t		New terms of refere agreed. Carbon pat leadership and achi	hway being prepare	ed. Strong	Additional resources to improve communication Updated climate change action plan and map of resources requirements to be prepared

Avoc	luun a at	Prior Assessment			Current Assessment			Risk Owner
Area	Impact	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk Rating	Likelihood	Consequence	Residual Risk	Risk Owner
Cyber Security	Security of data. Reputation risk. Data Breach. Non- compliance with GDPR. Fraud and extortion risks.	4 - Likely	5- Catastrophic	20	3 - Possible	4 - Critical	12	Head of Corporate Services

Description	Source of Risk	Existing Controls	Further Mitigations
Cyber Securit risks are increasing as everybody makes use of technology as a way of managing sensitive data and business critical functions. Personal data needs to be protected, some of which is held on our behalf by 3rd parties.	data. Failure of Staff and Councillors to use protection and controls to prevent breaches (e.g., using personal devices). Increased use of technology to manage and share data, exposes the council to cyber risks and threats. Organised crime and opportunists are continually looking for control weaknesses to use our sensitive data for a wide range of criminal activities or ransom to.	Cyber Security Training provided to all employees and members. Network security controls. Personnel management. Data management plan in place. In September 2021, data migrated to restricted access/password controlled secure SharePoint. Data Protection Officer in place and active. UK GDPR action plan – regularly updated and work plan agreed annually. Biannual GDPR training (last provided June/July 2021) for all officers and members. Older servers with unsecure access have been closed.	Increased briefing and training. Disaster Recovery exercises.

CONSEQUENCE SCORE								
Score	Financial	Regulatory	Reputation	Service Failure/Business Continuity				

Catastrophic	5	Above £1m	Prosecution	Total loss of public confidence	3 months to re-establish service
Critical	£500K - Enforcement Action or function put into special measures		National negative media coverage > 3 days	Loss or interruption greater than I month	
Major	+ 5UK - '		•	National negative media coverage < 3 days	Loss or interruption 1-4 weeks
Moderate	oderate 2 £5K - £50K statu		Single Breech of statutory legislation	Local negative media coverage longer term (I month or over)	Loss or interruption I - 5 Days
Minor	Minor I £0 - £5		Reduction in Statutory Services	Local negative media coverage for a short term (under 1 month)	Loss or interruption < I day

LIKELIHOOD SCORE

Descriptor	Rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost Certain
Score	1	2	3	4	5
Frequency	This will probably never happen	Do not expect it to happen but it is possible	Might happen	Will probably happen and may persist	Will almost certainly happen and will happen frequently

Risk Rating = Consequence x L	ikelihood
-------------------------------	-----------

		1	2	3	4	5
		Rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost Certain
Catastrophic	5	5	10	15	20	25
Critical	4	4	8	12	16	20
Major	3	3	6	9	12	15
Moderate	2	2	4	6	8	10
Minor	I	1	2	3	4	5

Assessing & Managing the Risk

		Transfer	Tolerate	Treat	Terminate
1 - 3	Low Risk	✓	✓	✓	✓
4 - 6	Medium Risk	✓		✓	✓
8 - 12	High Risk			✓	✓
15 - 25	Very High Risk			✓	✓